

FOREST AND WILDLIFE RESEARCH CENTER

MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY

The proposal should be peer reviewed by at least three scientists selected from the faculty or appropriate industry or university personnel. It is expected that the Unit Head will review the proposal prior to transmittal to the Director for approval.

Title of Proposed Project:

Principal Investigator(s):

Department:

Proposed Starting Date:

Proposed Ending Date:

Subject Matter Reviewers

Date Received

Date Returned

Statistical Reviewer

A. Statement of problem justification and review of literature. good; adequate
 requires more detail; inadequate. If either of the last two boxes are checked, please give details below.

B. Objectives

- Well stated.
- Specific objectives of the project are not stated.
- Objective does not appear to be attainable.
- Objective overlaps objective(s)_____.
- Remarks

C. Experimental Design, Research Methods and Procedures

good; adequate; inadequate. If the last box is checked, supply a summary of the shortcomings below:

D. If either the proposed organization or plan for publication is unsatisfactory, please supply details.

E. Reviewer=s recommendation:

Overall appraisal of the proposal is good, submit in present form.

Acceptable with minor revisions noted herein or on the manuscript.

Proposal needs major revision and resubmitted for additional review.

Remarks

Other

Remarks: _____

Date: _____ *Signature:* _____

Title: _____

Contact Info: _____